

Tetrahedron 59 (2003) 10037–10041

TETRAHEDRON

Self-assembly of bis(pyrrol-2-yl-methyleneamine)s bridged by flexible linear carbon chains

Lan-Ying Yang,^a Qing-Qi Chen,^b Guo-Qiang Yang^a and Jin-Shi Ma^{a,*}

^aCAS Key Laboratory of Photochemistry, Institute of Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100080, People's Republic of China
bRiomarin Pharmacautical Inc. 46 Galli Drive, Novato, CA 94949, IISA ^bBiomarin Pharmaceutical Inc., 46 Galli Drive, Novato, CA 94949, USA

Received 21 April 2003; revised 10 September 2003; accepted 9 October 2003

Abstract—Two linear bis(pyrrol-2-yl-methyleneamine)s linked by a carbon chain, $-(CH₂)_n$, $n=2, 4$, were synthesized in high yields by condensation of 2-formylpyrrole with the corresponding diamines. Self-assembly of the resulting bis(pyrrol-2-yl-methyleneamine) ligands with Zn(II) gave double-stranded helicates in excellent yields. Their structures were characterized by MS, ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, UV–Vis spectroscopic studies, and were confirmed by an X-ray crystal analysis. In CH₂Cl₂, both complexes 4 and 5 displayed moderate fluorescence. $©$ 2003 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Dipyrrins I, formerly called dipyrromethylenes, are fully conjugated flat yellowish molecules containing 10π electrons. Their uses as a novel building block for supramole-cular architectures were recently explored by Dolphin^{[1](#page-4-0)} and our group.^{[2](#page-4-0)} In dipyrrin **I**, the hydrogen of $N-H$ group could be removed and the resulting mono-anionic species function as resonance stabilized ligands. The complexes generated by dipyrrins I and metal ions are neutral species, therefore, counterions are not required. Consequently, it is particularly convenient to purify the complexes by column chromatography since they are not charged, being generally the least polar component in the reaction mixtures.[1,2](#page-4-0) However, the use of dipyrrins for supramolecular architectures by selfassembly is still limited by their availability and solubility in organic solvents.

To take advantages of dipyrrin ligands for self-assembly chemistry and to avoid the problems regarding their solubility and availability, we are interested in the pyrrole Schiff base ligand II, simplified analogues of I. Ligand II may possess similar coordinating properties to I, therefore may be an ideal building block for supramolecular architectures. Ligand II, a pyrrol-2-yl Schiff base or pyrrol-2-yl-methyleneamine, could be simply prepared by condensation of pyrrole-2-carbaldehyde with a primary amine. Indeed, the complexes formed between II and metal ions have been long known.^{[3](#page-4-0)} Linear spaced bis(pyrrol-2-yl-

methyleneamine) and their complexes with metal ions were recently reported,^{[4](#page-4-0)} which indicated that both the preparation of ligands and the complexes were highly efficient, and the metal complexes formed by pyrrol-2-yl-methyleneamines possessed good solubility in common solvents. The feasible synthesis, good solubility and excellent coordination ability with metal ions have attracted us to further explore their applications as a building block for supramolecular architectures. By changing the geometry of the space linker between two pyrrol-2-yl-methyleneamine units, we synthesized 1,2-, 1,3- and 1,4-bis(dipyrrol-2-yl-methyleneamine)benzene ligands, and have successfully used them to form supermolecules with interesting geometric shapes such as double-stranded helicates, trimeric triangles, and tetrameric squares. 5 In this paper we report the synthesis, characterization, optical properties and X-ray analysis of the Zn(II) complexes of bis(pyrrol-2-yl-methyleneamine) linked by linear carbon chains with different lengths (Scheme 1).

Scheme 1.

Keywords: crystal structure; pyrrole; self-assembly; pyrrol-2-ylmethyleneamine; Schiff base; double stranded helix; Zn(II)-complex.

 $*$ Corresponding author. Tel.: $+86-10-82617303$; fax: $+86-10-82617315$; e-mail: jsma@iccas.ac.cn

2. Results and discussion

2.1. Synthesis and characterization

Bis(pyrrol-2-yl-methyleneamine) ligands 2 and 3 were synthesized in high yields by condensation of diamines with pyrrole-2-carbaldehyde 1 in ethanol (Scheme 2). They are symmetric and possess two Schiff-base units, and show good solubility in common solvents. Their structures were fully characterized by MS, ¹H NMR, and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy.

The zinc (II) complexes 4 and 5 were prepared in yields of $60-65\%$ by reaction of either ligands 2 or 3 with $Zn(II)$ in methanol under basic conditions. Complexes 4 and 5 possess good solubility in common solvents such as dichloromethane, chloroform, THF, DMF, and DMSO, but they are less soluble in methanol, ethanol, hexane, benzene, toluene and water. Crystals of compounds 4 and 5 are stable at room temperature under darkness. Their solution in dichloromethane or chloroform slowly decomposed upon exposure to light and air. FAB, and MALDI-TOF mass spectroscopy were found to be especially informative in identifying the above reaction products. The peaks (m/z) observed in the mass spectra of 4 and 5 were 553 and 609 respectively, which were assigned to the dimeric complexes with a ligand–metal ratio of 2:2.

2.2. NMR analysis of 4 and 5

The ¹H NMR spectra of the zinc complexes 4 and 5 in $CDCl₃$ show a single set of proton resonances that could be fully assigned, which suggest that they exist as a single species in solution. As compared with the corresponding free ligands, complexes 4 and 5 exhibit upfield shifts for most protons except the protons at the pyrrole rings, in which they show downfield shifts of $0.15-0.32$ ppm, indicating a likely deshielding on the pyrrole ring protons due to coordination of the pyrrole ring with the metal center.

¹H NMR spectra in CDCl₃ revealed some chiral properties in the solution of complexes 4 and 5. For example, the

protons of ethane-1,2-diyl spacer in free ligand 2 are equivalent and appear as a singlet at 3.78 ppm; however, in complex 4 they appear as two doublets (AA'BB' resonance pattern) at 3.40 and 3.56 ppm. The same behavior can be observed by comparing 3 and 5. The butane-1,4-diyl chain in 3 reveals a mutilplet at 1.73 ppm and a triplet at 3.55 ppm, and in 5 three multiplets at 1.35, 3.10, 3.58 ppm, respectively. All these results imply that the strong coordination of the pyrrol-2-yl-methyleneamine with zinc ion leads to the removal of the inherent enantiotropic nature of the protons, making them diastereotopic and chiral, which are very similar to the observations reported in literatures^{[3d,4g](#page-4-0)} and our recent work.^{[5](#page-4-0)} The ¹³C NMR spectra of complexes 4 and 5 in CDCl₃ consisted of the anticipated peaks. Interestingly, the enantiomeric pairs of complex 4 are found in the crystal packing diagram as shown in [Figure 1,](#page-2-0) which is consistent with our ¹H NMR analysis. The ¹³C NMR spectra of complexes 4 and 5 in CDCl₃ consisted of the expected peaks.

2.3. X-Ray analysis

Crystals suitable for an X-ray analysis were grown from CH_2Cl_2 -MeOH. ORTEP views of compounds 4 and 5 are shown respectively in [Figs. 1 and 2](#page-2-0). The crystallographic data and structure analysis for complexes 4 and 5 are summarized in [Table 1.](#page-2-0) Selected bond lengths and angles are shown in [Table 2](#page-2-0).

The complex structures are a double-stranded helical geometry with D_2 symmetry, resulting from a major twist around the chain spacer of ethane-1,2-diyl (for 4) or butane-1,4-diyl (for 5). The coordination geometry around the metal center is almost tetrahedral. The two ligands wrapping around the metal–metal axis give rise to a double-stranded dinuclear helical compound. The dihedral angels between N–M–N planes around the same metal center are 99.5° for compound 4 and 79.3° for compound 5 respectively, indicating the deviation from the ideal tetrahedral geometry.

The complexes are dinuclear dimers which adopt an antiopened conformation, $4g$ with the Schiff bases acting as bis(bidenatate) donors. Their structures are quite similar to other bis(pyrrol-2-yl-methyleneamine) metal complexes.[4](#page-4-0) Each Schiff base, for example in complex 4, uses the pyrrolic NH and imine nitrogen atoms corresponding to its arms $[N(1), N(2), N(3), N(4)]$ to bind to the first zinc atom [Zn], and the nitrogen donor atoms of the remaining arm $[N(1A), N(2A), N(3A), N(4A)]$ to bind to the second zinc atom [ZnA]. The distances between two zinc centers are 4.248 \AA for 4 and 6.380 \AA for 5, which correspond to the lengths of the spacer between two Schiff base units. The Zn–N bond lengths for all complexes are in the range 1.96– 2.05 A. Analysis of the crystal structures reveals that the pyrrol-2-yl-methyleneamine units in all complexes are planar, as expected through conjugation. Both right- (P) and left-handed (M) helices^{[6](#page-4-0)} can simultaneously be observed in the crystals of 4.

Interestingly, only a left-handed (M) helix is observed in the crystals of 5, which shows the possibility of the same helical Scheme 2. molecules packing together in a same crystal.

Figure 1. ORTEP view of complex 4 (hydrogen atoms and solvent molecules have been omitted for clarity), left: emphasizing the helical structure and right: the mirror image enantiomers coexist in the crystal.

Table 1. Crystal data and structure refinement

	4	5
Empirical formula	$C_{24}H_{24}N_8Zn_2$	$C_{28}H_{32}N_8Zn_2$
Formula mass	555.25	611.36
Temperature [K]	293(2)	293(2)
Crystals system	Monoclinic	Monoclinic
Space group	C2/c	P2(1)
$a[\text{\AA}]$	13.8966 (6)	8.9733(8)
b [Å]	22.0405 (8)	16.1465(16)
c[A]	8.0313(7)	10.5094(11)
	112.671(4)	109.958(3)
$\begin{array}{c} \beta \, [\degree] \\ V \, [\AA^3] \end{array}$	2269.8(2)	1431.2(2)
Z, ρ_{calc} [mg/cm ³]	4, 1.625	2, 1.419
F(000)	1136	632
Crystal size (mm)	$0.30 \times 0.15 \times 0.04$	$0.47 \times 0.47 \times 0.24$
Reflections collected/unique $[R(int)]$	7662/2599 [0.0457]	6200/6200[0.0000]
Parameters	154	343
GOF	0.804	0.789
R	0.0272	0.0327
wR	0.0581	0.0548

2.4. Optical properties

Comparing the electronic absorption of free ligands 2 and 3 in $\widehat{\text{CH}_2Cl}_2$ with that of Zn(II) complexes 4 and 5 in CH_2Cl_2 (see [Fig. 3](#page-3-0)), it is found that the absorption of the complexes have been red-shifted about 50 nm (for 4) and 30 nm (for 5), indicating a strong interaction between the pyrrol-2-ylmethyleneamine ligands and zinc centers. It is interesting to mention that both complexes 4 and 5 in $CH₂Cl₂$ displayed

 $C(17)$

moderately fluorescence, while their corresponding free ligands 2 and 3 do not show such properties (see [Table 3\)](#page-3-0). The UV absorption of both 4 and 5 display a single peak, indicating that both complexes are not dissociated in the solution, and exist as single species, and is consistent with an NMR analysis.

3. Conclusions

In summary, we have demonstrated that bis(pyrrol-2-ylmethyleneamine)s bridged by flexible linear carbon chains

 $C(16)$

M

Figure 2. ORTEP view of complex 5 (hydrogen atoms and solvent molecules have been omitted for clarity).

Table 2. Selected bond lengths $[\AA]$ and angles $[°]$ for compound 4 and 5

4		5	
$Zn-N(1)$	1.9836(18)	$Zn(1) - N(1)$	1.946(3)
$Zn-N(2)$	2.0626(17)	$Zn(1) - N(2)$	2.056(3)
$Zn-N(3)$	1.9795(17)	$Zn(1) - N(7)$	2.024(3)
$Zn-N(4)$	2.0450(17)	$Zn(1) - N(8)$	1.963(3)
$N(3) - Zn - N(1)$	130.95(7)	$N(1) - Zn(1) - N(7)$	126.07(11)
$N(3)-Zn-N(4)$	84.49(7)	$N(1) - Zn(1) - N(2)$	84.38(13)
$N(1) - Zn - N(4)$	123.74(7)	$N(2) - Zn(1) - N(7)$	112.11(11)
$N(3) - Zn - N(2)$	117.47(7)	$N(1) - Zn(1) - N(8)$	128.62(12)
$N(1) - Zn - N(2)$	84.70(7)	$N(7) - Zn(1) - N(8)$	85.22(11)
$N(4) - Zn - N(2)$	119.76(7)	$N(2) - Zn(1) - N(8)$	124.06(12)

Figure 3. Absorption and emission spectra (in CH_2Cl_2). Note: (1) UV for 2, $c=1.84\times10^{-5}$ mol 1^{-1} ; (2) UV for $\hat{3}$, $c=1.74\times10^{-5}$ mol 1^{-1} ; (3) UV for 4 ,
 $c=2.07\times10^{-5}$ mol 1^{-1} ; (4) UV for 5 , $c=2.98\times10^{-5}$ mol 1^{-1} ; (5) fluoresence for 4; (6) fluoresence for 5.

Table 3. Absorption and fluorescence data

	Compound λ_{max} (ε) [nm] (CH ₂ Cl ₂) $\lambda_{\text{em max}}$ [nm] (CH ₂ Cl ₂)		$\Phi^{\rm a}$
$\mathbf{2}$ $\overline{\mathbf{4}}$ 3	289.6 (2.99×10^{4}) 358.4 (5.61×10^4) 290.2 (2.88×10^{4})	397.0 404.0 394.5	7×10^{-3}
5	334.4 (5.92×10^4)	401.5	1.1×10^{-2}

 a^a Anthracene (in ethanol) as the standard.^{[7](#page-4-0)}

can assemble to form dinuclear double-stranded helical complexes. The metal complexes possess an excellent solubility in most common solvents, which make spectroscopic characterization easy. Moreover, the supermolecules generated by pyrrol-2-yl-methyleneamines are uncharged without the need for counterions, thus making their isolation facile, via chromatography if necessary. Furthermore, as compared to dipyrrin ligands, pyrrol-2-yl-methyleneamine ligands are much easier to prepare. Future work focused on synthesis and self-assembly of multiple pyrrol-2-yl-methyleneamine ligands is currently in progress.

4. Experimental

4.1. General procedure for the synthesis of 2 and 3

Pyrrole-2-carbaldehyde^{[8](#page-4-0)} $(1, 10 \text{ mmol})$ and linear diaminoalkane (5 mmol) were dissolved in ethanol (10 mL). The mixture was stirred while a few drops of glacial acetic acid were added. After a few seconds, white precipitate was observed. The suspension was allowed to stir at room temperature for 2 h. The white solid was collected by suction filtration, washed with cold ethanol and dried under vacuum to give the expected product. Analytical sample was crystallized from ethanol.

4.1.1. 1,2-Bis(pyrrole-2-yl-methyleneamine)ethane (2). Yield: 75%, mp 178-181°C, ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 25°C, TMS): $\delta = 3.78$ (s, 4H; CH₂), 6.23 (dd, J₁=3.5 Hz,

 $J_2=3.5$ Hz, 2H; pyrrole-H₄), 6.47 (dd, $J_1=3.5$ Hz, $J_2=1.3$ Hz, 2H; pyrrole-H₃), 6.89 (m, 2H; pyrrole-H₅), 8.04 (s, 2H; imine-H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 Hz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 61.4$, 109.8, 114.5, 122.0, 130.1, 152.8 ppm; MS-FAB $(m/z, %): 215 (M+1, 30).$

4.1.2. 1,4-Bis(pyrrol-2-yl-methyleneamine)butane (3). Yield: 78%, mp $178 - 179^{\circ}$ C, ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 25°C, TMS): $\delta = 1.73$ (m, 4H; CH₂), 3.55 (t, J=4 Hz, 4H; CH₂), 6.25 (dd, $J_1=3.4$ Hz, $J_2=3.4$ Hz, 2H; pyrrole-H₄), 6.52 (dd, J_1 =3.4 Hz, J_2 =1.1 Hz, 2H; pyrrole-H₃), 6.94 (m, 2H; pyrrole-H₅), 7.99 (s, 2H; imine-H) ppm; 13 C NMR $(100 \text{ Hz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$: $\delta = 28.7, 59.8, 110.2, 115.3, 122.9, 129.7,$ 151.6 ppm; MS-FAB $(m/z, %)$: 243 $(M+1, 70)$.

4.2. General procedure for the synthesis of 4 and 5

A solution of $Zn(OAc)₂·2H₂O$ (1 mmol) in methanol (20 mL) was added to a solution of ligand (2 and 3, 1 mmol) in methanol (10 mL). The colorless mixture was stirred for 10 min at room temperature. A solution of KOH (2 mmol in 30 mL methanol) was added. The mixture turned to pale-yellow in color and was stirred for a further 30 min. The solvent was removed under vacuum. The residue was dissolved in $CH₂Cl₂$ and filtered to remove all insoluble materials. The filtrate was concentrated under vacuum to dryness, and was crystallized from CH_2Cl_2 – MeOH to give the expected products as pale-yellow needles for 4 and white block crystals for 5.

4.2.1. 1,2-Bis(pyrrol-2-yl-methyleneamine)ethane Zn(II) complex (4). Yield: 60% , mp $>150^{\circ}$ C (dec.), ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 25^oC, TMS): $\delta = 3.40$ (d, $J = 12$ Hz, 4H; CH₂), 3.56 (d, J=12 Hz, 4H; CH₂), 6.39 (dd, J₁=3.4 Hz, $J_2=3.4$ Hz, 4H; pyrrole-H₄), 6.73 (d, J=3.0 Hz, 4H; pyrrole-H₃), 7.03 (m, 4H; pyrrole-H₅), 7.44 (s, 4H; imine-H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 Hz, CDCl₃): δ =53.5, 54.2, 113.2, 118.4, 135.3, 137.1, 161.0 ppm; FAB-MS (m/z): 554 $(M+2)$, HR-LSIMS calcd for C₂₄H₂₅N₈Z_{n2} $(M+1)^+$: 553.0780, found: 553.0786.

4.2.2. 1,4-Bis(pyrrol-2-yl-methyleneamine)butane Zn(II) complex 5. Yield: 65% , mp>150°C (dec.), ¹H NMR $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCl}_3, 25^{\circ} \text{ C} \text{ TMS})$: $\delta = 1.35 \text{ (m, 8H; CH}_2)$, 3.10 (m, 4H; CH₂), 3.58 (m, 4H; CH₂), 6.40 (dd, J_1 =3.2 Hz, $J_2=3.2$ Hz, 4H, pyrrole-H₄), 6.84 (d, $J=3.2$ Hz, 4H; pyrrole-H₃), 7.03 (m, 4H; pyrrole-H₅), 7.80 (s, 4H; imine-H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 Hz, CDCl₃): δ =28.2, 56.0, 112.8, 117.5, 135.4, 136.2, 160.2 ppm. MALDI-TOF-MS (m/z): 609 $(M+1)$.

4.3. X-Ray crystallographic studies of complexes 4-5

Crystals suitable for an X-ray analysis were grown by slow evaporation of a solution of the complex in MeOH or CH_2Cl_2 -MeOH. Single crystal X-ray diffraction measurements were carried out on a Rigaku RAXIS RAPID IP diffractometer. The determination of unit cell parameters and data collection was performed with Mo K_{α} radiation and unit cell dimensions were obtained with least-squares refinements. The structure was solved by direct methods and semi-empirical absorption corrections were applied using the PSI scan method. Zn(II) atoms in the complexes were

located in successive difference Fourier syntheses. The final refinement was carried out by full matrix least-squares methods with anisotropic thermal parameters for nonhydrogen atoms on \mathbb{F}^2 . The hydrogen positions were calculated and refined riding on the concerned atoms with fixed thermal factors.

5. Supplementary materials

Crystal structure analyses were measured on a Rigaku RAXIS RAPID IP using graphic-monochromatized Mo $K\alpha$ radiation (λ =0.71073 Å) at 293(2) K. Experimental details are shown in [Table 1](#page-2-0). Crystallographic data (excluding structure factors) for the structures reported in this paper have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center as supplementary publication nos. CCDC-208243/208244. Copies of the data can be obtained free of charge on application to CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK [Fax: (internat.): +1-44-1223/336-033; E-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk].

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the Major State Basic Research Development Program (G2000078100), CAS (CMS-CX200205) and NSFC (20172055). We thank Ms S.-H. Zhou (Institute of Biophysics) for measuring the NMR spectra, Ms Y. Li (Institute of Chemistry) for X-ray analysis, and the Beijing MS Center at Institute of Chemistry for MS measurements.

References

1. (a) Zhang, Y.; Tompson, A.; Rettig, S. J.; Dolphin, D. J. Am.

Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 13537–13538. (b) Thompson, A.; Rettig, S. J.; Dolphin, D. Chem. Commun. 1999, 631–632. (c) Tompson, A.; Dolphin, D. Org. Lett. 2000, 1315–1318. (d) Tompson, A.; Dolphin, D. J. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 7870–7877. (e) Chen, Q.; Zhang, Y.; Dolphin, D. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 8413–8416.

- 2. (a) Zhang, Y.; Wang, Z.; Yan, C.; Li, G.; Ma, J. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 7717–7721. (b) Zhang, Y.; Ma, J. S. Org. Prep. Proced. Int. 2001, 33, 81–86. (c) Yang, L.; Zhang, Y.; Chen, Q.; Ma, J. S. Monatsh. Chem. 2003, in press.
- 3. (a) Chakravorty, A.; Holm, R. H. Inorg. Chem. 1964, 3, 1521–1524. (b) Weber, J. H. Inorg. Chem. 1967, 6, 258–262. (c) Jones, C. J.; McCleverty, J. A. J. Chem. Soc. (A) 1971, 1052–1060. (d) Van Stein, G. C.; Van Koten, G.; Passenier, H.; Steinebach, O.; Vrieze, K. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1984, 89, 79–87. (e) Mohamadou, A.; Barbier, J.-P. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1990, 169, 17–18. (f) Male, N. A. H.; Thornton-Pett, M.; Bochmann, M. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1997, 2487–2494.
- 4. (a) Bailey, N. A.; Barras, A.; Fenton, D. E.; Gonzales, M. S.; Moody, R.; Barbarin, C. O. R. D. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton. Trans. 1984, 2741–2746. (b) Brooker, S.; Carter, B. M. Acta Crystallogr. 1995, C51, 1522–1524. (c) Grigora, M.; Stoica, G.; Cianghe, I.; Simionescu, C. I. Rev. Roum. Chim. 1997, 42, 993–998. (d) Yoshida, N.; Ichikawa, K. Chem. Commun. 1997, 1091–1092. (e) Yoshida, N.; Ichikawa, K.; Shiro, M. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 2000, 17–26. (f) Franceschi, F.; Guillemot, G.; Solari, E.; Floriani, C.; Re, N.; Birkedal, H.; Pattison, P. Chem. Eur. J. 2001, 7, 1468. (g) Vazquez, M.; Bermejo, M.; Fondo, M.; Garcia-Deibe, A.; Gonzalez, A. M.; Pedrido, R. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2002, 465–472.
- 5. Wu, Z.; Chen, Q.; Xiong, S.; Xin, B.; Zhao, Z.; Jiang, L.; Ma, J. S. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 3271–3274.
- 6. Piguet, C.; Bernardinelli, G.; Hopfgartner, G. Chem. Rev. 1997, 97, 2005–2062.
- 7. Melhuish, W. H. J. Phys. Chem. 1961, 65, 229–235.
- 8. Silverstein, R. M.; Ryskiewicz, E. E.; Willard, C. Org. Synth. 1963, 36, 74–77.